

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,435		07/11/2004	Igor Victorovich Touzov	4434	
34185	7590	10/25/2005		EXAMINER	
IGOR V T		E DDIVE	WALLING, MEAGAN S		
311 CASTLE HAYNE DRIVE CARY, NC 27519				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2863	
				DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence	10/710,435	TOUZOV, IGOR VICTOROVICH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
7	Meagan S. Walling	2863
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity  17 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2004 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  ) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/11/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/710,435

Art Unit: 2863

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arntson et al. (US 2004/0262020).

Regarding claim 1, Arntson et al. teaches proximity measurement with at least two different inclinations of the holding element with respect to a planar body's surface (par. 27), and wherein the proximity measurements results reflect relative position of the tool/sensor with respect to the planar surface (par. 25).

Regarding claim 2, Arntson et al. teaches computing precise position of a tool/sensor with respect to a holder (par. 9).

Regarding claim 3, Arntson et al. teaches using a holder with multiple types of actuators or positioning motors, wherein some actuators or motors provide coarse positioning of the holder or tool with respect to the holder and others are capable of achieving higher precision positioning of the holder or of the tool/sensor with respect to the holder (par. 59).

Page 3

Regarding claim 4, Arntson et al. teaches computing precise position of a tool/sensor with respect to a holder for at least one predefined position of actuators capable of adjusting position of the tool with respect to the tool holder (par. 40).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Arntson et al. teaches that the apparatus contains more than one sensor that responds to proximity, pressure, force, electric field, or any other factors in some extent dependent from relative position of the tool, and these sensors may be arranged in ordered pattern (par. 38).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Arntson et al. teaches using data of multiple measurements and computing positions of different regions of a tool or multiple tools/sensors (par. 46).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Arntson et al. teaches using data of multiple sensors and computing positions of different regions of tool (par. 46).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan S. Walling whose telephone number is (571) 272-2283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,435

Art Unit: 2863

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msw

BRYAN BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER

18 24/06 10/24/06